CIENCE FAIR RESE	NCE FAIR RESEARCH PAPER RUBRIC STUDENT NAME:			PARTNER (if applicable):	Period:
	MISSING No Credit	POOR Minimal Credit	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Partial Credit	GOOD Full Credit	EXCELLENT Extra Credit
<b>PROJECT TITLE</b> 5 points	-5	-3	-2	5	+1
	Missing	<ul> <li>Inappropriate or unrelated to topic</li> </ul>	- Unclear/Confusing	<ul> <li>Appropriately reflects topic</li> <li>Avoids brand-names</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Especially Creative</li> <li>"Eye/Mind-Catching"</li> </ul>
RESEARCH QUESTION 5 points	-5	-3	-2	5	+1
	Missing	<ul> <li>Inappropriate/Unapproved</li> <li>Unclear</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses subjective words such as</li> <li>"best" or "wins"</li> <li>Difficult to answer using science</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Includes both the IV and DV within the question</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"How doesaffect?"</li> </ul>
HYPOTHESIS 5 points	<b>-5</b> Missing	- <b>3</b> - States a prediction instead - Off-topic	-2 - Poorly worded/Confusing	5 - Testable statement about the topic	+1 - Can be used as the "IF" part of a "If→Then" statement
DEFRICTION	-5	-3	-2	5	+1
PREDICTION 5 points	Missing	- Confusing/Illogical	<ul> <li>Inappropriately uses words such as "best" or "wins"</li> </ul>	- Statement of the expected outcome	<ul> <li>Can be used as the "THEN" part of an "If -&gt; Then" statement</li> </ul>
MATERIALC	-5	-3	-2	5	
MATERIALS 5 points	Missing	- Some key materials are missing	<ul><li>Poor formatting</li><li>List is confusing</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Detailed list of ALL necessary materials (# and type)</li> </ul>	
PROCEDURES 10 points	-10	-5	-2	10	
	Missing	<ul><li>Confusing to follow</li><li>Poor scientific method</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Poorly worded/formatted</li> <li>Questionable methods</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Detailed and easy to follow</li><li>Reflect sound scientific methods</li></ul>	
	-15	-10	-5	15	+2
<b>RESULTS</b> 15 points	Missing	<ul> <li>Irrelevant or missing data</li> <li>Data is poorly communicated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Patterns and relationships are not prominently shown</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Includes visual results (ie: graph, table, pictures,etc)</li> <li>Highlights patterns or relationships in data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Especially effective use of graphics to highlight relationshi in data</li> </ul>
	-20	-10	-5	20	+5
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 20 points	-20 Missing	<ul> <li>Fails to discuss patterns &amp; relationships</li> <li>Does not show evidence of real understanding/learning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Discussion lacks depth &amp; indicates partial understanding</li> <li>Fails to identify relevant scientific concepts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Describes relevant patterns and relationships in data</li> <li>Discusses relevant scientific concepts that apply</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thorough discussion of relationships and topic shows a deep understanding of data and scientific concepts</li> </ul>
CONCLUSION 10 points	-10	-5	-2	10	
	Missing	<ul><li>Fails to answer research question</li><li>Is not supported by evidence</li></ul>	<ul><li>Conclusion is unclear</li><li>Minimal support from evidence</li></ul>	<ul><li>Directly answers the question</li><li>Supported by the evidence</li></ul>	
ABSTRACT 10 points	-10	-5	-2	10	
	Missing	<ul> <li>Fails to fully summarize the project</li> </ul>	- Does not reflect guidelines	<ul> <li>Includes summary of purpose, procedures, results, &amp; conclusion</li> </ul>	
<b>FORMAT</b> 5 points	-	-5	-2	5	
		<ul> <li>Research paper is disorganized and difficult to follow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Parts of the paper are not clearly labeled or are "hidden"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Research paper meets all requirements</li> </ul>	
EFFORT &	-	-5	-2	5	+1
CREATIVITY		<ul> <li>Project looks "thrown together"</li> </ul>	- Parts of the project appear to	- Reflects a reasonable standard of	- Especially Creative
5 points		<ul> <li>Reflects minimal effort</li> </ul>	reflect minimal effort	neatness, effort, & creativity	<ul> <li>"Eye/Mind-Catching"</li> </ul>